Yāqūt, the thirteenth century Arab author has a section of his work Mu'jam al-Buldān devoted to the Khazars. Much of his material is taken directly from Ibn Fadlān and Iṣṭaxrī. Much of his material is taken directly from Ibn Fadlān and Iṣṭaxrī. Indeed, throughout the greater part of his account he quotes directly from Ibn Fadlān. Yāqūt gives us the form under consideration here in that part of his notice which is most indebted to Iṣṭaxrī. A comparison of Iṣṭaxrī and Yāqūt clearly shows that either Yāqūt used Iṣṭaxrī's account and somewhat muddled it or he used the same source that formed the basis for Iṣṭaxrī's notice (Balxī) but put in some information Iṣṭaxrī omitted while at the same time leaving out elements preserved by Iṣṭaxrī.

Iştaxrī (ed. de Goeje, p. 220):

Yāqūt (Mu'jam, ed. Wüstenfeld, II, p. 437):

". . . and the king is called in their language بنه (\*bek Iṣṭaxrī) يلك (y.l.k Yāqūt) and he is also called باك (\*bāk)."

The ψ form found in al-Iṣṭaxrī, garbled in Ibn Ḥawqal and confused in Yāqūt is, in my view, a corruption of μ. For a full argumentation, see my article "The Qʻazaro–Hungarian title/personal name μ ~ 'Ιέλεχ" ΑΕΜΑε Ι (1975).

The manuscripts of Yāqūt clearly confirm ...

## Sources

Yākūt, Mu'jam al-Buldān

- MSS: 1. Oxford, Bodleian, Marsh 132, f. 47v, line 20: بلك (Plate 85)
  - 2. London, British Museum 16,649, f. 384v, line 16: ملك (Plate 86)
  - 3. London, British Museum 23,371, f. 144r, line 33 : يلك (Plate 87)
  - 4. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale 2228, f. 105r, line 16: يلك (Plate 88)
  - 5. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale 6601, f. 126r, line 14: يلك (Plate 89)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>597</sup> Yāqūt, Mu'jam al-Buldān, ed. Wüstenfeld, II, pp. 436-440.

<sup>598</sup> Dunlop, op. cit., p. 100.

- 6. Berlin, Staatsbibliothek 6040, f. 75v, line 1: يلك (Plate 12)
- 7. Istanbul, Topkapı, III Ahmet Kütüphanesi, 2715, f 77r, line 5: (Plate 90)
- 8. Istanbul, Köprülü, 1163, f. 69r, line 13: بلك (Plate 91)

In addition, al-Qazwīnī (and al-Bakūwī who copies him) follow another tradition [see al-Qazwīnī, Kosmographie, ed. von Wüstenfeld, II, p. 393 and 'Abdar-Rašid al-Bakūvī, Kitāb Talxīs al-Aṣār va 'Adžā'ib al-Malik al Kaxxār, facs. ed. trans. Z. M. Buniatov (Moskva, 1971) f. 66b]: ولهم إلهم إله علم يسمى بالك

Pronunciation: يك is probably to be pronounced \*yilik  $\sim$  \*yilig or \*yelek'  $\sim$  yeleğ.

Comments: \*yilik|\*yilig is a variant of ilik|älig (Radloff, I/1, p. 816) Uyğ. der Herrscher", "der Fürst". (See also Caferoğlu, Uyg. sözl., pp. 71, 92 älig, ilig "hükümdar", "kral".) Our form can be seen in the name (as reported by Constantinus Porphyrogenitus<sup>599</sup>) of the second son of Árpád 'léλεχ (\*yeleğ). Gombocz derives the placename Üllő (in Pest county) from \*ilig, \*elig. He considers it a Khazar loanword<sup>600</sup> coming from 'léλεχ.

Ulló, indeed, derives from an original ilig  $\sim$  elig which is the more usual form of the title under consideration. Ulló, however, cannot derive from  $\sim$  'Iélex as the loss of initial y- cannot be explained. Ulló may have been borrowed from a Khazar (or other Turkic language spoken in the Khazar state) dialect which had the form ilig  $\sim$  elig. I have discussed this fully in my article eited above.

Ilik is a popular element in Turkic personal names (cf. Uyğur: İlig Xan, İlig Bay, Kün toğdı ülik). The name should also be compared with that of a Sabir general Ἰλιγερ. 601 Németh mentions the son of Attila, Ilek (Illac) and connects this name with the Baškir personal name Iläk and the Uyğur ilig. The name was also prominent among the Qaraxanids. 602

 $<sup>^{599}</sup>$  Constantinus Porphyrogenitus, DAI (Bonn ed.), p. 175; Moravcsik–Jenkins, ed. p. 178.

e00 Z. Gombocz, "Árpádkori török személyneveink" MNy, XI (1915), pp. 436–437.

<sup>601</sup> Moravesik, Byzantinoturcica, II, p. 138.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Németh, HMK, p. 134. For the Qaraxanids, see O. Pritsak, "Die Karachaniden" Der Islam, XXXI (1953–1954), p. 18.